

# COMPUTING IGUSA'S LOCAL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS, AND LINEAR FEEDBACK SHIFT REGISTERS

W. A. ZUNIGA-GALINDO

**ABSTRACT.** We give a polynomial time algorithm for computing the Igusa local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$  attached to a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , in one variable, with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and a prime number  $p$ . We also propose a new class of Linear Feedback Shift Registers based on the computation of Igusa's local zeta function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a non-constant polynomial, and  $p$  a fixed prime number. We put  $N_m(f, p) = N_m(f)$  for the number of solutions of the congruence  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^n$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and  $H(t, f)$  for the Poincaré series

$$H(t, f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m(f) (p^{-n}t)^m,$$

with  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|t| < 1$ , and  $N_0(f) = 1$ . This paper is dedicated to the computation of the sequence  $\{N_m(f)\}_{m \geq 0}$  when  $f$  is an univariate polynomial with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Igusa showed that the Poincaré series  $H(t, f)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane as a rational function of  $t$  [14], [15]. In this paper we make a first step towards the solution of the following problem: given a polynomial  $f(x)$  as above, how difficult is to compute the meromorphic continuation of the Poincaré series  $H(t, f)$ ?

The computation of the Poincaré series  $H(t, f)$  is equivalent to the computation of Igusa's local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$ , attached to  $f$  and  $p$ , defined as follows. We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the field of  $p$ -adic numbers, and by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $v_p(x)$  denotes the  $p$ -adic order of  $x$ , and  $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$  its absolute value. The Igusa local zeta function associated to  $f$  and  $p$  is defined as follows:

$$Z(s, f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^n} |f(x)|_p^s |dx|, \quad s \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ , and  $|dx|$  denotes the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  so normalized that  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  has measure 1. The following relation between  $Z(s, f)$  and  $H(t, f)$  holds (see

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11S40, 94A60; Secondary 11Y16, 14G50.

*Key words and phrases.* Igusa's local zeta function, polynomial time algorithms, one-way functions, linear feedback shift registers.

Supported by COLCIENCIAS-Grant # 089-2000.

[14], theorem 8.2.2):

$$H(t, f) = \frac{1 - tZ(s, f)}{1 - t}, \quad t = p^{-s}.$$

Thus, the rationality of  $Z(s, f)$  implies the rationality of the Poincaré series  $H(t, f)$ , and the computation of  $H(t, f)$  is equivalent to the computation of  $Z(s, f)$ . Igusa [14, theorem 8.2.1] showed that the local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane as a rational function of  $p^{-s}$ .

The first result of this paper is a polynomial time algorithm for computing the local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$  attached to a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , in one variable, with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and a prime number  $p$ . We also give an explicit estimate for its complexity (see algorithm `Compute_Z(s, f)` in section 2, and theorem 7.1).

Many authors have found explicit formulas for  $Z(s, f)$ , or  $H(f, t)$ , for several classes of polynomials, among them [6], [7], [10], [11], [16] and the references therein, [19], [24], [25]. In all these works the computation of  $Z(s, f)$ , or  $H(f, t)$ , is reduced to the computation of other problems, as the computation of the number of solutions of polynomial equations with coefficients in a finite field. Currently, there is no polynomial time algorithm solving this problem [23], [22]. Moreover, none of the above mentioned works include complexity estimates for the computation of Igusa's local zeta functions.

Of particular importance is Denef's explicit formula for  $Z(s, f)$ , when  $f$  satisfies some generic conditions [6]. This formula involves the numerical data associated to a resolution of singularities of the divisor  $f = 0$ , and the number of rational points of certain non-singular varieties over finite fields. Thus the computation of  $Z(s, f)$ , for a generic polynomial  $f$ , is reduced to the computation of the numerical data associated to a resolution of singularities of the divisor  $f = 0$ , and the number of solutions of non-singular polynomials over finite fields. Currently, it is unknown if these problems can be solved in polynomial time on a Turing machine. However, during the last few years important achievements have been obtained in the computation of resolution of singularities of polynomials [2], [3], [4], [21].

The computation of the Igusa local zeta function for an arbitrary polynomial seems to be an intractable problem on a Turing machine. For example, for  $p = 2$ , the computation of the number of solutions of a polynomial equation with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is an **NP**-complete problem on a Turing Machine [9, page 251, problem AN9]. Then in the case of 2-adic numbers, the computation of the Igusa local zeta function is an **NP**-complete problem.

Recently, Anshel and Goldfeld have shown the existence of a strong connection between the computation of zeta functions and cryptography [1]. Indeed, they proposed a new class of candidates for one-way functions based on global zeta functions. A one-way function is a function  $F$  such that for each  $x$  in the domain of  $F$ , it is easy to compute  $F(x)$ ; but for essentially all  $y$  in the range of  $F$ , it is an intractable problem to find an  $x$  such that  $y = F(x)$ . These functions play a central role, from a practical and theoretical point of view, in modern cryptography. Currently, there is no guarantee that one-way functions exist even if  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ . Most of the present candidates for one-way functions are constructed on the intractability of problems like integer factorization and discrete logarithms [12]. Recently, P. Shor has introduced a new approach to attack these problems [20]. Indeed, Shor have shown that on a quantum computer the integer factorization and discrete logarithm problems can be computed in polynomial time.

We set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H(t, f) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ in one variable, with splitting field } \mathbb{Q}\},$$

and  $N^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$  for the set of finite sequences of integers. For each positive integer  $u$  and a prime number  $p$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_{u,p} : \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \\ H(t, f) &\rightarrow \{N_0(f, p), N_1(f, p), \dots, N_u(f, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Our second result asserts that  $F_{u,p}(H(t, f))$  can be computed in polynomial time, for every  $H(t, f)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  (see theorem 8.1). It seems interesting to study the complexity on a Turing machine of the following problem: given a list of positive integers  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_u\}$ , how difficult is it to determine whether or not there exists a Poincaré series  $H(t, f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m(f)(p^{-1}t)^m$ , such that  $a_i = N_i(f)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, u$ ?

Currently, the author does not have any result about the complexity of the above problem, however the mappings  $F_{u,p}$  can be considered as new class of stream ciphers (see section 8).

## 2. THE ALGORITHM COMPUTE\_Z( $s, f$ )

In this section we present a polynomial time algorithm,  $\text{Compute\_Z}(s, f)$ , that solves the following problem: given a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , in one variable, whose splitting field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ , find an explicit expression for the meromorphic continuation of  $Z(s, f)$ . The algorithm is as follows.

### **Algorithm Compute\_Z( $s, f$ )**

Input : A polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , in one variable, whose splitting field is  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Output : A rational function of  $p^{-s}$  that is the meromorphic continuation of  $Z(s, f)$ .

1. Factorize  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ :  $f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .
2. Compute

$$l_f = \begin{cases} 1 + \max\{v_p(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \mid i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}, & \text{if } r \geq 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } r = 1. \end{cases}$$

3. Compute the  $p$ -adic expansions of the numbers  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  modulo  $p^{l_f+1}$ .
4. Compute the tree  $T(f, l_f)$  associated to  $f(x)$  and  $p$  (for the definition of  $T(f, l_f)$  see (4.2)).
5. Compute the generating function  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$  attached to  $T(f, l_f)$  (for the definition of  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$  see (5.1)).
6. Return  $Z(s, f) = G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$ .
7. End

In section 6, we shall give a proof of the correctness and a complexity estimate for the algorithm  $\text{Compute\_Z}(s, f)$ . The first step in our algorithm is accomplished by means of the factoring algorithm by A.K. Lenstra, H. Lenstra and L. Lovász [17]. If  $d_f$  denotes the degree of  $f(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i$ , and

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2},$$

then the mentioned factoring algorithm needs  $O\left(d_f^6 + d_f^9(\log \|f\|)\right)$  arithmetic operations, and the integers on which these operations are performed each have a binary length  $O\left(d_f^3 + d_f^2(\log \|f\|)\right)$  [17, theorem 3.6].

The steps 2, 3, 4, 5 reduce in polynomial time the computation of  $Z(s, f)$  to the computation of a factorization of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This reduction is accomplished by constructing a weighted tree from the  $p$ -adic expansion of the roots of  $f(x)$  modulo a certain power of  $p$  (see section 4), and then associating a generating function to this tree (see section 5). Finally, we shall prove that the generating function constructed in this way coincides with the local zeta function of  $f(x)$  (see section 5).

### 3. $p$ -ADIC STATIONARY PHASE FORMULA

Our main tool in the effective computing of Igusa's local zeta function of a polynomial in one variable will be the  $p$ -adic stationary phase formula, abbreviated SPF [16]. This formula is a recursive procedure for computing local zeta functions. By using this procedure it is possible to compute the local zeta functions for many classes of polynomials [[16] and the references therein], [19], [24], [25], [26].

Given a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x] \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ , we denote by  $\bar{f}(x)$  its reduction modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , i.e., the polynomial obtained by reducing the coefficients of  $f(x)$  modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We define for each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

$$f_{x_0}(x) = p^{-e_{x_0}} f(x_0 + px),$$

where  $e_{x_0}$  is the minimum order of  $p$  in the coefficients of  $f(x_0 + px)$ . Thus  $f_{x_0}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x] \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ . We shall call the polynomial  $f_{x_0}(x)$  the *dilatation* of  $f(x)$  at  $x_0$ . We also define

$$\nu(\bar{f}) = \text{Card}\{\bar{z} \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid \bar{f}(\bar{z}) \neq 0\},$$

$$\delta(\bar{f}) = \text{Card}\{\bar{z} \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid \bar{z} \text{ is a simple root of } \bar{f}(\bar{z}) = 0\}.$$

We shall use  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  as a set of representatives of the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{p-1}\}$ . Let  $S = S(f)$  denote the subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  which is mapped bijectively by the canonical homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p$  to the set of roots of  $\bar{f}(\bar{z}) = 0$  with multiplicity greater than or equal to two.

With all the above notation we are able to state the  $p$ -adic stationary phase formula for polynomials in one variable.

**Proposition 3.1** ([14, theorem 10.2.1]). *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x] \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  be a non-constant polynomial. Then*

$$Z(s, f) = p^{-1}\nu(\bar{f}) + \delta(\bar{f}) \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} + \sum_{\xi \in S} p^{-1-e_\xi s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_\xi(x)|_p^s dx.$$

The following example illustrates the use of the  $p$ -adic stationary phase formula, and also the basic aspects of our algorithm for computing  $Z(s, f)$ .

**3.1. Example.** Let  $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)^3(x - \alpha_3)(x - \alpha_4)^2(x - \alpha_5)$  be a polynomial such that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$  are integers having the following  $p$ -adic expansions:

$$\alpha_1 = a + dp + kp^2,$$

$$\alpha_2 = a + dp + lp^2,$$

$$\alpha_3 = b + gp + mp^2,$$

$$\alpha_4 = c + hp + np^2,$$

$$\alpha_5 = c + hp + rp^2,$$

where the  $p$ -adic digits  $a, b, c, d, g, h, l, m, n, r$  belong to  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . We assume the  $p$ -adic digits to be different by pairs. The local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$  will be computed by using SPF iteratively.

By applying SPF with  $\overline{f(x)} = (x - \overline{a})^4(x - \overline{b})(x - \overline{c})^3$ ,  $\nu(\overline{f}) = p-3$ ,  $\delta(\overline{f}) = 1$ ,  $S = \{a, c\}$ ,  $f_a(x) = p^{-4}f(a + px)$ , and  $f_c(x) = p^{-3}f(c + px)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, f) &= p^{-1}(p-3) + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} + p^{-1-4s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_a(x)|_p^s |dx| \\ &+ p^{-1-3s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_c(x)|_p^s |dx|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

We apply SPF to the integrals involving  $f_a(x)$  and  $f_c(x)$  in (3.1). First, we consider the integral corresponding to  $f_a(x)$ . Since  $\overline{f_a(x)} = (x - \overline{d})^4(\overline{a} - \overline{b})(\overline{a} - \overline{c})^3$ ,  $S = \{d\}$ ,  $f_{a,d}(x) = p^{-4}f_a(d + px)$ ,  $\nu(\overline{f_a}) = p-1$ , and  $\delta(\overline{f_a}) = 0$ , it follows from (3.1) using SPF that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, f) &= p^{-1}(p-3) + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-4s} \\ &+ p^{-2-8s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_{a,d}(x)|_p^s |dx| + p^{-1-3s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_c(x)|_p^s |dx|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we apply SPF to the integral involving  $f_c(x)$  in (3.2). Since  $\overline{f_c(x)} = (\overline{c} - \overline{a})^4(\overline{c} - \overline{b})(x - \overline{h})^3$ ,  $S = \{h\}$ ,  $f_{c,h}(x) = p^{-3}f_c(h + px)$ ,  $\nu(\overline{f_c}) = p-1$ , and  $\delta(\overline{f_c}) = 0$ , it follows from (3.2) using SPF that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, f) &= p^{-1}(p-3) + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-4s} \\ &+ p^{-2-8s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_{a,d}(x)|_p^s |dx| + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-3s} \\ &+ p^{-2-6s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_{c,h}(x)|_p^s |dx|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By applying SPF to the integral involving  $f_{a,d}(x)$  in (3.3), with  $\overline{f_{a,d}(x)} = (x - \overline{k})(x - \overline{l})^3(\overline{d} - \overline{b})(\overline{d} - \overline{c})^3$ ,  $S = \{k, l\}$ ,  $f_{a,d,k}(x) = p^{-1}f_{a,d}(k + px)$ ,  $|f_{a,d,k}(x)|_p^s = |x|_p^s$ ,  $f_{a,d,l}(x) = p^{-3}f_{a,d}(l + px)$ ,  $|f_{a,d,l}(x)|_p^s = |x|_p^{3s}$ ,  $\nu(\overline{f_{a,d}}) = p-2$ , and  $\delta(\overline{f_{a,d}}) = 1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s, f) &= p^{-1}(p-3) + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-4s} \\
&\quad + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-3s} + p^{-1}(p-2)p^{-2-8s} + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-9s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} \\
(3.4) \quad &\quad + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-11s}}{1-p^{-1-3s}} + p^{-2-6s} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |f_{c,h}(x)|_p^s |dx|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by applying SPF to the integral involving  $f_{c,h}(x)$  in (3.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s, f) &= p^{-1}(p-3) + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-4s} \\
&\quad + p^{-1}(p-1)p^{-1-3s} + p^{-1}(p-2)p^{-2-8s} + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-9s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} \\
&\quad + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-11s}}{1-p^{-1-3s}} + p^{-1}(p-2)p^{-2-6s} + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-7s}}{1-p^{-1-s}} \\
(3.5) \quad &\quad + \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-3-8s}}{1-p^{-1-2s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.1.** If  $\alpha = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $v_p(\alpha) < 0$ , then

$$(3.6) \quad |x - \alpha|_p = |\alpha|_p, \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

On the other hand, a polynomial of the form

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x],$$

can be decomposed as  $f(x) = \alpha_0 f_-(x) f_+(x)$ , where

$$(3.7) \quad f_-(x) = \prod_{\{\alpha_i | v_p(\alpha_i) < 0\}} (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i}, \text{ and } f_+(x) = \prod_{\{\alpha_i | v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0\}} (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i}.$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) follow that

$$Z(s, f) = |\alpha_0| \prod_{\{\alpha_i | v_p(\alpha_i) < 0\}} |\alpha_i|_p^{e_i} Z(s, f_+).$$

Thus, from a computational point of view, we may assume without loss of generality that all roots of  $f(x)$  are  $p$ -adic integers.

#### 4. TREES AND $p$ -ADIC NUMBERS

The tree  $U = U(p)$  of residue classes modulo powers of a given prime number  $p$  is defined as follows. Consider the diagram

$$\{0\} = \mathbb{Z}/p^0\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{\phi_1} \mathbb{Z}/p^1\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{\phi_2} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{\phi_3} \cdots$$

where  $\phi_l$  the are the natural homomorphisms. The vertices of  $U$  are the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$ , for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and the directed edges are  $u \rightarrow v$  where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\phi_l(u) = v$ , for some  $l > 0$ . Thus  $U$  is a rooted tree with root  $\{0\}$ . Exactly one directed edge emanates from each vertex of  $U$ ; except from the vertex  $\{0\}$ , from which no edge emanates. In addition, every vertex is the end point of exactly  $p$  directed edges.

Given two vertices  $u, v$  the notation  $u > v$  will mean that there is a sequence of vertices and edges of the form

$$u \rightarrow u^{(1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u^{(m)} = v.$$

The notation  $u \geq v$  will mean that  $u = v$  or  $u > v$ . The *level*  $l(u)$  of a vertex  $u$  is  $m$  if  $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ . The *valence*  $Val(u)$  of a vertex  $u$  is defined as the number of directed edges whose end point is  $u$ .

A subtree, or simply a tree, is defined as a nonempty subset  $T$  of vertices of  $U$ , such that when  $u \in T$  and  $u > v$ , then  $v \in T$ . Thus  $T$  together with the directed edges  $u \rightarrow v$ , where  $u, v \in T$ , is again a tree with root  $\{0\}$ .

A tree  $T$  is named a *weighted tree*, if there exists a weight function  $W : T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . The value  $W(u)$  is called the weight of vertex  $u$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $x_l$  denotes its residue class modulo  $p^l$ , then every vertex of  $U$  is of the type  $x_l$  with  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A *stalk* is defined as a tree  $K$  having at most one vertex at each level. Thus a stalk is either finite, of the type

$$\{0\} \leftarrow u^{(1)} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow u^{(l)},$$

or infinite, of the type

$$\{0\} \leftarrow u^{(1)} \leftarrow \cdots.$$

Clearly a finite stalk may be written as

$$\{0\} \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_l,$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and infinite stalks as

$$\{0\} \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow x_2 \leftarrow \cdots,$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus there is a 1 – 1 correspondence between infinite stalks and  $p$ -adic integers.

#### 4.1. Tree Attached to a Polynomial. Let

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

be a non-constant polynomial, in one variable, of degree  $d_f$ , such that  $v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . We associate to  $f(x)$  and a prime number  $p$  the integer

$$l_f = \begin{cases} 1 + \max\{v_p(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \mid i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq r\}, & \text{if } r \geq 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } r = 1. \end{cases}$$

We set

$$\alpha_i = a_{0,i} + a_{1,i} p + \cdots + a_{j,i} p^j + \cdots + a_{l_f,i} p^{l_f} \pmod{p^{l_f+1}},$$

$a_{j,i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, l_f$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , for the  $p$ -adic expansion modulo  $p^{l_f+1}$  of  $\alpha_i$ . We attach a weighted tree  $T(f, l_f)$  to  $f$  as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad T(f, l_f, p) = T(f, l_f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r K(\alpha_i, l_f),$$

where  $K(\alpha_i, l_f)$  denotes the stalk corresponding to the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $\alpha_i$  modulo  $p^{l_f+1}$ . Thus  $T(f, l_f)$  is a rooted tree. We introduce a weight function on  $T(f, l_f)$ , by defining the weight of a vertex  $u$  of level  $m$  as

$$(4.3) \quad W(u) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\{i | \alpha_i \equiv u \pmod{p^m}\}} e_i, & \text{if } m \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Given a vertex  $u \in T(f, l_f)$ , we define the stalk generated by  $u$  to be

$$B_u = \{v \in T(f, l_f) \mid u \geq v\}.$$

We associate a weight  $W^*(B_u)$  to  $B_u$  as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad W^*(B_u) = \sum_{v \in B_u} W(v).$$

**4.2. Computation of Trees Attached to Polynomials.** Our next step is to show that a tree  $T(f, l_f)$  attached to a polynomial  $f(x)$ , of type (4.1), can be computed in polynomial time. There are well known programming techniques to construct and manipulate trees and forests (see e.g. [8, Volume 1]), for this reason, we shall focus on showing that such computations can be carry out in polynomial time, and set aside the implementation details of a particular algorithm for this task. We shall include in the computation of  $T(f, l_f)$ , the computation of the weights of the stalks generated by its vertices; because all these data will be used in the computation of the local zeta function of  $f$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *The computation of a tree  $T(f, l_f)$  attached to a polynomial  $f(x)$ , of type (4.1), from the  $p$ -adic expansions modulo  $p^{l_f+1}$  of its roots*

$$\alpha_i = a_{0,i} + a_{1,i} p + \cdots + a_{l_f,i} p^{l_f} \pmod{p^{l_f+1}}$$

*and multiplicities  $e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , involves  $O(l_f^2 d_f^3)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length*

$$O(\max\{\log p, \log(l_f d_f)\}).$$

*Proof.* We assume that  $T(f, l_f)$  is finite set of the form

$$(4.5) \quad T = \{\text{Level}_0, \dots, \text{Level}_j, \dots, \text{Level}_{l_f+1}\},$$

where  $\text{Level}_j$  represents the set of all vertices with level  $j$ . Each  $\text{Level}_j$  is a set of the form

$$\text{Level}_j = \{u_{j,1}, \dots, u_{j,i}, \dots, u_{j,m_j}\},$$

and each  $u_{j,i}$  is a weighted vertex for every  $i = 1, \dots, m_j$ . A weighted vertex  $u_{j,i}$  is a set of the form

$$u_{j,i} = \{W(u_{j,i}), \text{Val}(u_{j,i}), W^*(B_{u_{j,i}})\},$$

where  $W(u_{j,i})$  is the weight of  $u_{j,i}$ ,  $\text{Val}(u_{j,i})$  is its valence, and  $W^*(B_{u_{j,i}})$  is the weight of stalk  $B_{u_{j,i}}$ . The weight of the stalk generated by  $u_{j,i}$  can be written as

$$W^*(B_{u_{j,i}}) = \sum_{v \in B_{u_{j,i}}} W(v).$$

For the computation of a vertex  $u_{j,i}$  of level  $j$ , we proceed as follows. We put  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , and

$$M_j = \{\alpha_i \pmod{p^j} \mid i \in I\}.$$

For each  $0 \leq j \leq l_f + 1$ , we compute a partition of  $I$  of type

$$(4.6) \quad I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l_j} I_{j,i},$$

such that

$$\alpha_t \bmod p^j = \alpha_s \bmod p^j,$$

for every  $t, s \in I_{j,i}$ . Each subset  $I_{j,i}$  corresponds to a vertex  $u_{j,i}$  of level  $j$ . This computation requires  $O(l_f r^2)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\log p)$ . Indeed, the cost of computing a “yes or no” answer for the question:  $\alpha_t \bmod p^j = \alpha_s \bmod p^j$ ? is  $O(j)$  comparisons of integers with binary length  $O(\log p)$ . In the worst case, there are  $r$  vectors  $M_j$ , and the computation of partition (4.6), for a fixed  $j$ , involves the comparison of  $\alpha_t$  with  $\alpha_l$  for  $l = t + 1, t + 2, \dots, r$ . This computation requires  $O(jr^2)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\log p)$ . Since  $j \leq l_f + 1$ , the computation of partition (4.6) requires  $O(l_f r^2)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\log p)$ .

The weight of the vertex  $u_{j,i}$  is given by the expression

$$W(u_{j,i}) = \sum_{k \in I_{j,i}} e_k.$$

Thus the computation of the weight of a vertex requires  $O(r)$  additions of integers with binary length  $O(\log d_f r)$ .

For the computation of the valence of  $u_{j,i}$ , we proceed as follows. The valence of  $u_{j,i}$  can be expressed as

$$\text{Val}(u_{j,i}) = \text{Card}\{I_{j+1,l} \mid I_{j+1,l} \subseteq I_{j,i}\},$$

where  $I_{j+1,l}$  runs through all possible sets that correspond to the vertices  $u_{j+1,l}$ , with level  $j + 1$ . Thus the computation of  $\text{Val}(u_{j,i})$  involves the computation of a “yes or no” answer for the question  $I_{j+1,l} \subseteq I_{j,i}$ ? The computation of a “yes or no” answer involves  $O(r)$  comparisons of integers with binary length  $O(\log r)$ . Therefore the computation of  $\text{Val}(u_{j,i})$  involves  $O(r)$  comparisons and  $O(r)$  additions of integers with binary length  $O(\log r)$ .

For the computation of the weight of  $B_{u_{j,i}}$ , we observe that  $W^*(B_{u_{j,i}})$  is given by the formula

$$W^*(B_{u_{j,i}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \sum_{I_{j,i} \subseteq I_{l,k}} W(I_{l,k}),$$

where  $W(I_{l,k}) = W(v_{l,k})$ , and  $v_{l,k}$  is the vertex corresponding to  $I_{l,k}$ . Thus the computation of  $W^*(B_{u_{j,i}})$  involves  $O(l_f)$  additions of integers with binary length  $O(\log(l_f d_f))$ , and  $O(l_f r)$  comparisons of integers with binary length  $O(\log r)$ .

From the above reasoning follows that the computation of a vertex of a tree  $T(f, l_f)$  involves at most  $O(l_f r^2)$  arithmetic operations (additions and comparisons) on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log p, \log(l_f d_f)\})$ . Finally, since the number of vertices of  $T(f, l_f)$  is at most  $O(l_f d_f)$ , it follows that the computation of a tree of type  $T(f, l_f)$  involves  $O(l_f^2 d_f^3)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log p, \log(l_f d_f)\})$ . ■

## 5. GENERATING FUNCTIONS AND TREES

In this section we attach to a weighted tree  $T(f, l_f)$  and a prime  $p$  a generating function  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p) \in \mathbb{Q}(p^{-s})$  defined as follows.

We set

$$\mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)} = \left\{ u \in T(f, l_f) \mid \begin{array}{l} W(u) = 1, \text{ and there no exists } v \in T(f, l_f) \\ \text{with } W(v) = 1, \text{ such that } u > v. \end{array} \right\},$$

and

$$L_u(p^{-s}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-l(u)-W^*(B_u)s}}{(1-p^{-1}-W(u)s)}, & \text{if } l(u) = 1 + l_f, \text{ and } W(u) \geq 2; \\ p^{-1}(p - \text{Val}(u))p^{-l(u)-W^*(B_u)s}, & \text{if } 0 \leq l(u) \leq l_f, \text{ and } W(u) \neq 1; \\ \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-l(u)-W^*(B_u)s}}{1-p^{-1-s}}, & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}; \\ 0, & \text{if } W(u) = 1, \text{ and } u \notin \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}. \end{cases}$$

With all the above notation, we define the generating function attached to  $T(f, l_f)$  and  $p$  as

$$(5.1) \quad G(s, T(f, l_f), p) = \sum_{u \in T(f, l_f)} L_u(p^{-s}).$$

Our next goal is to show that  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p) = Z(s, f)$ . The proof of this fact requires the following preliminary result.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The generating function attached to a tree  $T(f, l_f)$  and a prime  $p$  satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G(s, T(f, l_f), p) &= p^{-1}\nu(\bar{f}) + \delta(\bar{f}) \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} \\ &+ \sum_{\xi \in S} p^{-1-e_\xi s} G(s, T(f_\xi, l_f - 1), p). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_f = \{u \in T(f, l_f) \mid l(u) = 1, W(u) = 1\}$ , and  $B_f = \{u \in T(f, l_f) \mid l(u) = 1, W(u) \geq 2\}$ . We have the following partition for  $T(f, l_f)$ :

$$(5.3) \quad T(f, l_f) = \{0\} \cup A_f \cup \left( \bigcup_{u \in B_f} T_u \right),$$

with

$$T_u = \{v \in T(f, l_f) \mid v \geq u\}.$$

Each  $T_u$  is a rooted tree with root  $\{u\}$ . From partition (5.3) and the definition of  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$ , it follows that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G(s, T(f, l_f), p) &= p^{-1}(p - \text{Val}(\{0\})) + \text{Card}\{A_f\} \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} + \\ &\sum_{u \in B_f} G(s, T_u), \end{aligned}$$

with  $G(s, T_u) = \sum_{v \in T_u} L_v(p^{-s})$ .

Since there exists a bijective correspondence between the roots of  $\bar{f}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and the vertices of  $T(f, l_f)$  with level 1,

$$(5.5) \quad p - \text{Val}(\{0\}) = \nu(\bar{f}), \text{ and } \text{Card}\{A_f\} = \delta(\bar{f}).$$

Now, if the vertex  $u$  corresponds to the root  $\bar{f}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then

$$(5.6) \quad T_u = \left( \bigcup_{\{\alpha_i | \alpha_i \equiv \xi \pmod{p}\}} K(\alpha_i, l_f) \right) \setminus \{0\}.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$(5.7) \quad T(f_\xi, l_f - 1) = \bigcup_{\{\alpha_i | \alpha_i \equiv \xi \pmod{p}\}} K\left(\frac{\alpha_i - \xi}{p}, l_f - 1\right).$$

Now we remark that the map  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_i - \xi}{p}$  induces an isomorphism between the trees  $T_u$  and  $T(f_\xi, l_f - 1)$ , that preserves the weights of the vertices; and thus we may suppose that  $T_u = T(f_\xi, l_f - 1)$ . The level function  $l_T$  of  $T(f_\xi, l_f - 1)$  is related to the level function  $l_{T_u}$  of  $T_u$  by means of the equality  $l_T - l_{T_u} = -1$ . In addition,  $B_f = S$ , where  $S$  is the subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  whose reduction modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$  is equal to the set of roots of  $\bar{f}(\xi) = 0$  with multiplicity greater or equal than two. Therefore, it holds that

$$(5.8) \quad G(s, T_u) = p^{-1-e_\xi s} G(s, T(f_\xi, l_f - 1), p).$$

The result follows from (5.4) by the identities (5.5) and (5.8). ■

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $p$  be a fixed prime number and  $v_p$  the corresponding  $p$ -adic valuation, and*

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \setminus \mathbb{Q},$$

*a polynomial such that  $v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then*

$$Z(s, f) = G(s, T(f, l_f), p).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $l_f$ .

Case  $l_f = 1$

If  $r = 1$  the proof follows immediately, thus we may assume that  $r \geq 2$ . Since  $l_f = 1$ , it holds that  $v_p(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) = 0$ , for every  $i, j$ , satisfying  $i \neq j$ , and thus  $\bar{\alpha}_i \neq \bar{\alpha}_j$ , if  $i \neq j$ . By applying SPF, we have that

$$(5.9) \quad Z(s, f) = p^{-1} \nu(\bar{f}) + \delta(\bar{f}) \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} + \sum_{\xi \in S} p^{-1-e_\xi s} \frac{(1-p^{-1})}{(1-p^{-1-e_\xi s})},$$

where each  $e_\xi = e_j \geq 2$ , for some  $j$ , and  $\alpha_j = \xi + p\beta_j$ .

On the other hand,  $T(f, l_f)$  is a rooted tree with  $r$  vertices  $v_j$ , satisfying  $l(v_j) = 1$ , and  $W(v_j) = e_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . These observations allow one to deduce that  $Z(s, f) = G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$ .

By induction hypothesis, we may assume that  $Z(s, f) = G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$ , for every polynomial  $f$  satisfying both the hypothesis of the lemma, and the condition  $1 \leq l_f \leq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Case  $l_f = k + 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial satisfying the lemma's hypothesis, and  $l_f = k + 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . By applying SPF, we obtain that

$$(5.10) \quad Z(s, f) = p^{-1}\nu(\bar{f}) + \delta(\bar{f}) \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} + \sum_{\xi \in S} p^{-1-e_\xi s} \int |f_\xi(x)|_p^s dx.$$

Now, since  $l_{f_\xi} = l_f - 1$ , for every  $\xi \in S$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis applied to each  $f_\xi(x)$  in (5.10), that

$$(5.11) \quad Z(s, f) = p^{-1}\nu(\bar{f}) + \delta(\bar{f}) \frac{(1-p^{-1})p^{-1-s}}{(1-p^{-1-s})} + \sum_{\xi \in S} p^{-1-e_\xi s} G(s, T(f_\xi, l_f - 1), p).$$

Finally, from identity (5.2), and (5.11), we conclude that

$$(5.12) \quad Z(s, f) = G(s, T(f, l_f), p).$$

■

The following proposition gives a complexity estimate for the computation of  $G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *The computation of the generating function*

$$G(s, T(f, l_f), p)$$

*from  $T(f, l_f)$ , involves  $O(l_f d_f)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log p, \log(l_f d_f)\})$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of proposition 4.1, and the definition of generating function. ■

## 6. COMPUTATION OF $p$ -ADIC EXPANSIONS

In this section we estimate the complexity of the steps 2 and 3 in the algorithm Compute. $Z(s, f)$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let*

$$B = \max_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq r \\ i \neq j}} \{ |c_{j,i}|, |d_{j,i}| \mid \alpha_j - \alpha_i = \frac{c_{j,i}}{d_{j,i}}, c_{j,i}, d_{j,i} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

*The computation of the integer  $l_f$  involves  $O(d_f^2 \frac{\log B}{\log p})$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log B, \log p\})$ .*

*Proof.* First, we observe that for  $c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , the computation of  $v_p(c)$  involves  $O(\frac{\log |c|}{\log p})$  divisions of integers of binary length  $O(\max\{\log |c|, \log p\})$ . Thus the computation of  $v_p(\frac{c}{d}) = v_p(c) - v_p(d)$ , involves  $O(\frac{\max\{\log |c|, \log |d|\}}{\log p})$  divisions and subtractions of integers with binary length

$$O(\max\{\log |c|, \log |d|, \log p\}).$$

From these observations follow that the computation of  $v_p(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , involves  $O(r^2 \frac{\log B}{\log p})$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log B, \log p\})$ . Finally, the computation of the maximum of the  $v_p(\alpha_j -$

$\alpha_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , involves  $O(\log r)$  comparisons of integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log B, \log p\})$ . Therefore the computation of the integer  $l_f$  involves at most  $O(d_f^2 \frac{\log B}{\log p})$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log B, \log p\})$ . ■

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $p$  be a fixed prime and  $\gamma = \frac{c}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , with  $c, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $v_p(\gamma) \geq 0$ . The  $p$ -adic expansion*

$$\gamma = a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_j p^j + \cdots + a_m p^m,$$

*modulo  $p^{m+1}$  involves  $O(m + \log(\max\{|b|, p\}))$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log |c|, \log |b|, \log p\})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  be an integer such that  $yb \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This integer can be computed by means of the Euclidean algorithm in  $O(\log(\max\{|b|, p\}))$  arithmetic operations involving integers of binary length  $O(\max\{\log |b|, \log p\})$  (cf. [8, Volume 2, section 4.5.2]).

We set  $\gamma = \gamma_0 = \frac{c}{b}$ ,  $c_0 = c$ , and define  $a_0 \equiv yc \pmod{p}$ . With this notation, the  $p$ -adic digits  $a_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , can be computed recursively as follows:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\frac{(c_{i-1} - a_{i-1}b)}{p}}{b} = \frac{c_i}{b},$$

$$a_i = yc_i \pmod{p}.$$

Thus the computation of the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $\gamma$  needs  $O(m + \log(\max\{|b|, p\}))$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length

$$O(\max\{\log |c|, \log |b|, \log p\}).$$

■

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let  $p$  be a fixed prime number and  $v_p$  the corresponding  $p$ -adic valuation, and*

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x],$$

*a non-constant polynomial such that  $v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The computation of the  $p$ -adic expansions modulo  $p^{l_f+1}$  of the roots  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , of  $f(x)$  involves  $O(d_f l_f + d_f \log(\max\{B, p\}))$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(\max\{\log B, \log p\})$ .*

*Proof.* The corollary follows directly from the two previous propositions. ■

## 7. COMPUTING LOCAL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF POLYNOMIALS WITH SPLITTING $\mathbb{Q}$

In this section we prove the correctness of the algorithm `Compute_Z(s, f)` and estimate its complexity.

**Theorem 7.1.** *The algorithm `Compute_Z(s, f)` outputs the meromorphic continuation of the Igusa local zeta function  $Z(s, f)$  of a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , in one variable, with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The number of arithmetic operations needed by the algorithm is*

$$O(d_f^6 + d_f^9 \log(\|f\|) + l_f^2 d_f^3 + d_f^2 \log(\max\{B, p\})),$$

and the integers on which these operations are performed have a binary length

$$O(\max\{\log p, \log l_f d_f, \log B, d_f^3 + d_f^2 \log(\|f\|)\}).$$

*Proof.* By remark (3.1), we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \setminus \mathbb{Q},$$

with  $v_p(\alpha_i) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The correctness of the algorithm follows from lemma 5.1. The complexity estimates are obtained as follows: the number of arithmetic operations needed in the steps 2 (cf. proposition 6.1), 3 (cf. corollary 6.1), 4 (cf. proposition 4.1), 5 (proposition 5.2), and 6 is at most

$$O(l_f^2 d_f^3 + d_f^2 \log(\max\{B, p\}));$$

and these operations are performed on integers whose binary length is at most

$$O(\max\{\log p, \log l_f d_f, \log B\}).$$

The estimates for the whole algorithm follow from the above estimates and those of the factoring algorithm by A. K. Lenstra, H. Lenstra and L. Lovász (see theorem 3.6 of [17]). ■

## 8. STREAM CIPHERS AND POINCARÉ SERIES

There is a natural connection between Poincaré series and stream ciphers. In order to explain this relation, we recall some basic facts about stream ciphers [18]. Let  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  be a finite field with  $p^n$  elements, with  $p$  a prime number. For any integer  $r > 0$  and  $r$  fixed elements  $q_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  (called taps), a Linear Feedback Shift Register, abbreviated LFSR, of length  $r$  consists of  $r$  cells with initial contents  $\{a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$ . For any  $n \geq r$ , if the current state is  $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-r})$ , then  $a_n$  is determined by the linear recurrence relation

$$a_n = - \sum_{i=1}^r a_{n-i} q_i.$$

The device outputs the rightmost element  $a_{n-r}$ , shifts all the cells one unit right, and feeds  $a_n$  back to the leftmost cell.

Any configuration of the  $r$  cells forms a state of the LSFR. If  $q_r \neq 0$ , the following polynomial  $q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$  of degree  $r$  appears in the analysis of LFSRs:

$$q(x) = q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_r x^r \text{ with } q_0 = -1.$$

This polynomial is called the connection polynomial. An infinite sequence  $A = \{a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has period  $T$  if for any  $i \geq 0$ ,  $a_{i+T} = a_i$ . Such a sequence is called periodic. If this is only true for  $i$  greater than some index  $i_0$ , then the sequence is called eventually periodic. The following facts about an LFSR of length  $r$  are well-known [18].

1. There are only finitely many possible states, and the state with all the cells zero will produce a 0-sequence. The output sequence is eventually periodic and the maximal period is  $p^{nr} - 1$ .
2. The Poincaré series  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$  associated with the output sequence is called the generating function of the sequence. It is a rational function over

- $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  of the form  $g(x) = \frac{L(x)}{R(x)}$ , with  $L(x), R(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$ ,  $\deg(R(x)) < r$ . The output sequence is strictly periodic if and only if  $\deg(L(x)) < \deg(R(x))$ .
3. There is a one-to-one correspondence between LFSRs of length  $r$  with  $q_r \neq 0$  and rational functions  $\frac{L(x)}{R(x)}$  with  $\deg(R(x)) = r$  and  $\deg(L(x)) < r$ .

We set  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}(x)$  for the field of rational functions over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , and  $N^\infty(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  for the set of sequences of the form  $\{b_0, \dots, b_u\}$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the above considerations, it is possible to identify an LFSR with a function  $F_u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined as follows:

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} F_u : \mathbb{F}_{p^n}(x) &\rightarrow N^\infty(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i &\rightarrow \{a_0, \dots, a_u\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H(t, f) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ in one variable, with splitting field } \mathbb{Q}\},$$

and  $N^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$  for the set of finite sequences of integers. Also, for each  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a prime number  $p$ , we define

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{u,p} : \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow N^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \\ H(t, f) &\rightarrow \{N_0(f, p), N_1(f, p), \dots, N_u(f, p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the mappings  $F_{u,p}$  can be seen as LFSRs, or stream ciphers, over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If we replace each  $N_u(f, p)$  by its binary representation, then the  $F_{u,p}$  are LFSRs. For practical purposes it is necessary that  $F_{u,p}$  can be computed efficiently, i.e., in polynomial time. With the above notation our second result is the following.

**Theorem 8.1.** *For every  $H(t, f) \in \mathcal{H}$ , the computation of  $F_{u,p}(H(t, f))$  involves  $O(u^2 d_f l_f)$  arithmetic operations, and the integers on which these operations are performed have binary length*

$$O(\max\{(l_f + u) \log p, \log(d_f l_f)\}).$$

The proof of this theorem will be given at the end of this section. This proof requires some preliminary results. We set  $t = q^{-s}$ , and

$$Z(s, f) = Z(t, f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m(f, p) t^m,$$

with  $c_m(f, p) = \text{vol}(\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid v_p(f(x)) = m\})$ .

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  be a polynomial in one variable and  $p$  a prime number. The following formula holds for  $N_n(f, p)$ :*

$$(8.3) \quad N_n(f, p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ p^n \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{j-1}(f, p)\right), & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The result follows by comparing the coefficient of  $t^n$  of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_n(f, p)}{p^n} t^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n,$$

in the following equality :

$$H(t, f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_n(f, p)}{p^n} t^n = \frac{1 - t \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m(f, p) t^m \right)}{1 - t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n.$$

■

We associate to each  $u \in T(f, l_f)$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , a rational integer  $a_j(u)$  defined as follows:

$$(8.4) \quad a_j(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)}{p^{l(u)+1+y(u)}}, & \text{if } l(u) = 1 + l_f, W(u) \geq 2, j = W^*(B_u) + y(u)W(u), \\ & \text{for some } y(u) \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \frac{(p-Val(u))}{p^{l(u)+1}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq l(u) \leq l_f, W(u) \neq 1, j = W^*(B_u); \\ \frac{(p-1)}{p^{l(u)+1+y(u)}}, & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}, j = W^*(B_u) + y(u), \\ & \text{for some } y(u) \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 0, & \text{if } W(u) = 1, \text{ and } u \notin \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}; \\ 0, & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  be a polynomial in one variable, with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $p$  a prime number. The following formula holds:*

$$(8.5) \quad c_j(f, p) = \sum_{u \in T(f, l_f)} a_j(u), \quad j \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* As a consequence of lemma (5.1), we have the following identity:

$$(8.6) \quad Z(t, f) = \sum_{u \in T(f, l_f)} L_u(t),$$

with

$$(8.7) \quad L_u(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)t^{W^*(B_u)}}{p^{l(u)+1}(1-p^{-1}t^{W(u)})}, & \text{if } l(u) = 1 + l_f, W(u) \geq 2; \\ \frac{(p-Val(u))}{p^{l(u)+1}} t^{W^*(B_u)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq l(u) \leq l_f, W(u) \neq 1; \\ \frac{(p-1)t^{W^*(B_u)}}{p^{l(u)+1}(1-p^{-1}t)}, & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}; \\ 0, & \text{if } W(u) = 1, \text{ and } u \notin \mathcal{M}_{T(f, l_f)}. \end{cases}$$

The result follows by comparing the coefficient of  $t^j$  in the series  $Z(t, f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m(f, p) t^m$ , and  $Z(t, f) = \sum_{u \in T(f, l_f)} L_u(t)$ . ■

**Proposition 8.3.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  be a polynomial in one variable, with splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $p$  a prime number.*

1. The computation of  $N_n(f, p)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , from the  $c_{j-1}(f, p)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , involves  $O(n)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(n \log p)$ .
2. The computation of  $c_j(f, p)$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , from  $Z(t, f)$ , involves  $O(d_f l_f)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length

$$O(\max\{(j + l_f) \log p, \log p, \log(d_f l_f)\}).$$

3. The computation of any  $N_n(f, p)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , from  $Z(t, f)$ , involves  $O(nd_f l_f)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length

$$O(\max\{(n + l_f) \log p, \log(d_f l_f)\}).$$

*Proof.* (1) By (8.4) and (8.5),  $c_j(f, p) = \frac{v_j}{p^{m_j}}$ ,  $v_j, m_j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In addition,

$$c_{j-1}(f, p) = p^{-j+1} N_{j-1}(f, p) - p^{-j} N_j(f, p).$$

Thus  $p^n c_{j-1}(f, p) \in \mathbb{N}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $m_j \leq n$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . From (8.3), it follows that

$$(8.8) \quad N_n(f, p) = p^n - \sum_{j=1}^n p^n c_{j-1}(f, p), \quad n \geq 1.$$

The above formula implies that the computation of  $N_n(f, p)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , from the  $c_{j-1}(f, p)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , involves  $O(n)$  arithmetic operations on integers with binary length  $O(n \log p)$ .

(2) The computation of  $a_j(u)$  from  $L_u(t)$  (i.e. from  $Z(t, f)$ , cf. (8.6)) involves  $O(1)$  arithmetic operations (cf. (8.4), (8.7)) on integers of binary length  $O(\max\{\log p, \log(d_f l_f)\})$ . Indeed, since the numbers  $l(u)$ ,  $W^*(B_u)$ ,  $W(u)$ ,  $u \in T(f, l_f)$  are involved in this computation, we know by proposition 4.1 that their binary length is bounded by  $O(\max\{\log p, \log(d_f l_f)\})$ .

The cost of computing  $c_j(f, p)$  from  $L_u(t)$ ,  $u \in T(f, l_f)$  (i.e. from  $Z(t, f)$ ) is bounded by the number of vertices of  $T(f, l_f)$  multiplied by an upper bound for the cost of computing  $a_j(u)$  from  $L_u(t)$ , for any  $j$ , and  $u$  (cf. (8.5)). Therefore, from the previous discussion the cost of computing  $c_j(f, p)$  from  $Z(t, f)$  is bounded by  $O(d_f l_f)$  arithmetic operations. These arithmetic operations are performed on integers of binary length bounded by  $O(\max\{(j + l_f) \log p, \log p, \log(d_f l_f)\})$ . Indeed, the binary lengths of the numerator and the denominator of  $a_j(u) + a_j(u')$ ,  $u, u' \in T(f, l_f)$  are bounded by  $(l_f + 1 + j) \log p$  (cf. (8.4)). Thus, the mentioned arithmetic operations for calculating  $c_j(f, p)$  from  $L_u(t)$  are performed on integers whose binary length is bounded by  $O(\max\{(j + l_f) \log p, \log p, \log(d_f l_f)\})$ .

(3) The third part follows the first and second parts by (8.8). ■

**8.1. Proof of Theorem 8.1.** The theorem follows from proposition 8.3 (3).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anshel, M., and Goldfeld, D., Zeta functions, one-way functions and pseudorandom number generators, Duke Math. J., 88, 2 (1997), 371-390.
- [2] Bierstone, E., Milman, P., Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 207-302.
- [3] Bodnár, Gábor; Schicho, Josef. A computer program for the resolution of singularities. Resolution of singularities (Obergrugl, 1997), 231-238, Progr. Math., 181, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
- [4] Bodnár, G., Schicho, J., Automated resolution of singularities for hypersurfaces, Journal of Symbolic Computation 30 (2000), 401-428.

- [5] Denef J., Report on Igusa's local zeta function, Séminaire Bourbaki 1990/1991 (730-744) in Asterisque 201-203 (1991), 359-386.
- [6] Denef J., On the degree of Igusa's local zeta functions, Amer. Math. J. 109 (1987), 991-1008.
- [7] Denef J., Hoornaert Kathleen, Newton polyhedra and Igusa local zeta function, Journal of Number Theory 89 (2001), 31-64.
- [8] Knuth, D., The art of computer programming, 3 volumes, Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- [9] Garey, M. R., Johnson, D. S., Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of **NP**-Completeness, 1979, W. H. Freedman and Company, New York.
- [10] Goldman, Jay R., Numbers of solution of congruences: Poincaré series for strongly nondegenerate forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87 (1983), 586-590.
- [11] Goldman, Jay R., Numbers of solution of congruences: Poincaré series for algebraic curves, Adv. in Math. 62 (1986), 68-83.
- [12] Goldreich, O., Levin, L. A., and Nisan, N., On constructing 1-1 one-way functions, preprint available at <http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~oded/cryptography.html>.
- [13] Goldreich, O., Krawczyk, H., Luby, M., On the existence of pseudorandom number generators, SIAM J. on Computing, Vol. 22 (1993), 1163-1175.
- [14] Igusa, Jun-Ichi, An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions, AMS/IP studies in advanced mathematics, v. 14, 2000.
- [15] Igusa, J., Complex powers and asymptotic expansions, I Crelles J. Math., 268/269 (1974), 110-130; II, *ibid.*, 278/279 (1975), 357-368.
- [16] Igusa, J., A stationary phase formula for  $p$ -adic integrals and its applications, in Algebraic Geometry and its Applications, Springer-Verlag (1994), 175-194.
- [17] Lenstra, A.K., Lenstra, H.W., Lovász, L., Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients, Math. Ann. 261 (1982), 515-534.
- [18] Ruepel R., Analysis and design of stream ciphers, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [19] Saia, M.J., Zuniga-Galindo, W.A., Local zeta functions, Newton polygons and non degeneracy conditions, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [20] P. Shor, Algorithms for quantum computation, discrete logarithms, and factoring, in Proceedings of 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, California, 1994, 124-134.
- [21] Villamayor, O., Constructiveness of Hironaka's resolution, Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4 (1989), 1-32.
- [22] von zur Gathen, J., Karpinski, M., Shparlinski, I., Counting curves and their projections, in Proceedings ACM STOC 93, 805-812.
- [23] Daqing Wan, Algorithmic theory of zeta functions over finite fields, to appear in MSRI Computational Number Theory Proceedings.
- [24] Zuniga-Galindo W. A., Igusa's local zeta functions of semiquasihomogeneous polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353, (2001), 3193-3207.
- [25] Zuniga-Galindo W. A., Local zeta functions and Newton polyhedra, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.
- [26] Zuniga-Galindo W.A., Local zeta function for non-degenerate homogeneous mappings, preprint 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BARRY UNIVERSITY, 11300 N.E. SECOND AVENUE, MIAMI SHORES, FLORIDA 33161, USA  
*E-mail address:* `wzuniga@mail.barry.edu`